

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the Examiner's Office Action of March 10, 2008 issued in relation to the present Patent Application, the Applicant submits Amendments to the specification and claims, and the below Remarks.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7, 10, 12, 13, 18, 28, and 29 are presented for examination. Claims 1 and 18 are independent claims.

Regarding Claim objections

Claims 26 and 27 have been cancelled.

Regarding Drawing objections

The drawing are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include reference characters not mentioned in the description. The Examiner makes specific reference to reference characters 10011, 10012, 10800, 10841, and 10802.

Page 22 of the specification has been amended to include reference characters shown in Figures 33 and 34 of the drawings.

Reference character 10011 referenced by the Examiner appears on page 22, lines 15 and 35, of the specification as filed, and relates to a registration server. Reference character 10012 appears on page 22, line, of the specification as filed, and relates to an ID server.

Regarding 35 USC 112 rejection

Claim 27 is rejected as being indefinite.

Claim 27 has been cancelled.

Regarding Double Patenting Rejections

Claims 1-12, 14, 17-20, 22-25, and 28-38 are rejected under 35 USC 101 for claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-50 of US Patent No. 7,137,549.

Claims 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 14, 17, 19, 20, 22-25, and 30-38 have been cancelled.

Independent claims 1 and 18 have been amended to more clearly define the present invention. Applicants submits that, due to those amendments, the invention as now claimed in the present application is patentably different from that claimed in US Patent No. 7,137,549, and requests withdrawal of the Double Patenting Rejection.

Regarding 35 USC 102 Rejections

Claims 1-7, 13-19, 21-26 and 28-38 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Murphy et al. (US 4,554,446).

Claims 4, 6, 14-17, 19, 21-26 and 30-38 have been cancelled.

Independent claims 1 and 18 have been amended to include at least the features from former claim 11.

Accordingly, withdrawal of the 35 USC 102(b) is respectfully requested.

Regarding 35 USC 103 Rejections

Claims 8 and 20 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy et al in view of Gray (GB 2141665).

Claims 8 and 20 have been cancelled.

Claims 9, 21 and 27 are rejected under 35 USC 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murphy et al in view of Pingel et al (DE4022822).

Claims 9, 21 and 27 have been cancelled.

CONCLUSION

It is respectfully submitted that all of the Examiner's rejections have been traversed.

Accordingly, it is submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested.

Very respectfully,

Applicant/s:

Kia

Kia Silverbrook

Paul

Paul Lapstun

Jacqueline Anne

Jacqueline Anne Lapstun

Paul Quentin

Paul Quentin Scott

C/o: Silverbrook Research Pty Ltd
393 Darling Street
Balmain NSW 2041, Australia

Email: kia.silverbrook@silverbrookresearch.com

Telephone: +612 9818 6633

Facsimile: +61 2 9555 7762